vs Buford &c.. Petitioner's Mother resided on a large farm and was without means or money to carry it on, save and except the small exemption just referred to, nor could she give security if she bought, and no one offered to be her security. Several were asked, but declined. Under these circumstances your Petitioner determined that he would at his own risk allow his Mother to choose from the Appraisement List all that was ~~deeded~~ deemed necessary for the cultivation and carrying on the farm at the appraised value. This she did and the list so chosen will appear on the said copy of appraisement amounting to $1314.78. For which amount your Petitioner took her bond, dated on the day of the sale of the personal property, without security, payable to him six months after date - pretty much all of which amount with interest, was paid to your Petitioner by her previous to her death, which occured 5th. of February 1882, and the residue has been paid to your Petitioner by the daughter who was Executrix of her Will and also legatee thereunder. The sale of the personal property of said P. Buford's Estate which was made 6th. October 1875 amounted in the aggregate to $3901.33. At the September Term 1875 of this Court a friendly suit was instituted by the persons interested to settle the estate - decree was pronounced requiring account of debts to be taken and for other purposes - amongst the latter directing dower in decedent's real estate to be laid off to the widow. The Commissioners (N.C.Luck, George P.Luck and George Rieley) that acted valued the whole real estate March 1st. 1876 at $22150.00. and assigned to the widow her share (1/3) at $7383 1/3. See their report filed at March Term 1876 which was confirmed by the Court. At the same term the report of the Commmissioner in Chancery was filed showing that debts against the estate of Paschal Buford amounted to Nearly $11000.00. This was not unexpected to your Petitioner. It thus appeared that after exhausting the amount $3901.33 for which the personal property was sold there would be a deficit of about $7000.00,(See decree March Term 1876) which would have to be raised from the sale of real estate. In consultation with his brother-in-law the late Judge Burks your Petitioner well recollects that he (Burks) said to your Petitioner. "It will take all of your father's real estate to pay his debts". Your Petitioner replied that he did not think so . After the consultation with said Burks your Petitioner sought